## Achumawi Database

## Summary of November 2022 work

You can download the current backup from

• http://zelligharris.org/Achumawi/achumawi-db.html

I have updated the webonary at

https://www.webonary.org/odissi/

Nobel Laureate Tim Hunt is far from the first scientist to point out that most of the day to day work of doing science is boring, working repetitively over the data. In this short video clip from a talk he explains very clearly in few words why we do it anyway. Surprises emerge.

• https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9mBU\_gTGsuw

The sections of this report are:

- 1. More on waci and Rp
- 2. Words about speaking
- 3. Utter, hear, obey

**1. More on** *waci* **and Rp.** I have far to go to work through occurrences of those stative roots in the Rp class. The Rp roots (p for 'peripheral') are CV roots that occur in the periphery of the verb stem, before or after the central template. Rcv roots normally occur in slot 1 or slot 3, but can also stand alone as the main root, e.g. *ticááci*. This may also be true of the Rp subset.

I am still cleaning up occurrences of *waci* and its various combined forms such as *wacóo*, *waaci*, *wacicka*, *wacumá*, etc. For the present I am just peeling these off into the 'auxiliary verb' grammatical category in the lexicon. The grammatical category appears on the Lex. Gram. Info line in the Analysis view.

While doing that, I saw many instances of uc as the underlying form of c 'do' in the Lex. Entries line in the Analysis view. Back before I recognized the verb stem template and the CV and CVC shapes of roots in it, I assumed that *tuci* and *uci* showed *uc* as the base from which other variants are derived. Now I recognize c as the auxiliary-verb Rc root that occurs in *waci*, etc., and in many other places. The *u* may be a *w* prefix (as in e.g. *tithééwi*, *sóóthééwi* "hear, obey"), possibly the stative *w* that is a longer-range quarry as I beat through the brush re-analyzing *waci*. I have changed all occurrences of lexical entry *uc* and *ucc* to *c* and *cc*, and returned to *waci* and its siblings.

After that, I'll move on to *wate*, *wa*, *way*(*mi*), *waka*(*m*), *walmi*, *wal*, *wam* at the ends of words. At the beginnings of words are things like the difference between remote past ckw- (in contrast to ck-) and the initial stem vowel o alternating with awa (in contrast with stem vowel i or a). Is there a consistent semantic difference associated with this, perhaps the 'stative, durative' meaning of the Rp root w? To find out will require inspecting large sets of examples.

The similar alternation of e.g. *tépti* 'go back (home)', *siyápti* 'I went back' suggests a y prefix or Rp root  $\dot{y}$  'manifest, attaining, situated'. Rcv roots normally occur in slot 1 or slot 3, but can also be the main root of a very simple verb stem. If w and y are in the Rp subset, it appears that they also occur as the two forms of copula, *uw* 'be' and *iy* 'be'. The *uw* forms are used with adjectives and other stative or durative words used attributively, and *iy* is used with animate nouns. (I'll turn to inanimate nouns in a bit. Rather than animate/inanimate, it might be more accurate to think in terms of volition.)

This immediately links in the distinction between the two 3rd-person pronominal prefixes;  $\dot{y}$ - is used before uw (*allu ýuwí* 'he's hungry') and w- is used before  $i\dot{y}$  ( $p^{h}i\dot{w}a$  wi $\dot{y}i$  'he's here', iqpiimi twi $\dot{y}i$  'he's Wintu'). For 'inanimate' nouns (referring to things without volition and locomotion) the 'iterative/intensive' *n*- intervenes between the y 3<sup>rd</sup> person and the uw root, as though to emphasize its stasis in place, for example: as  $t\dot{y}anuwi$  'it is water'. The initial *t*- is for things that are manifestly so, cp. *sí yanuwi* 'there aren't any'. (The *si* is in the lexicon as  $s_2$ , 'indefinite, unmanifest, be unable'.)

2. Words about speaking. In the course of this, I had some trouble analyzing these two words:

ticwapwaaci	'be a spokesman'
kicwapwac kúcóo qá mimú ó tissi	'help us with our words'
Separating the auxiliary verbs waach	$i$ and $\dot{w}ac$ ('do continuously, habitually, or as a characteristic') and the

prefixes ti- and ki- leaves the stem cwap, which I find also in

ticwaplaaláwi	'fancy (polite) talk'
tičwaplaaláwi,	'fancy talk; alter one's speech; to say it differently; tongue twister'
wacwaplaaláwí	'he talks in a fancy way'
ticwaplááláwi	'speak in a broken way' [Said of Sissun Jim, the Wintu doctor.]
icwaplááláwáké'	'punching, poking at someone(?).' [Ritual insult?]

I had assumed that these were analyzed as  $\dot{c}wa$  'bite, use teeth' and  $pl\dot{a}$  'tongue, use mouth' plus stative w, some kind of semantically indirect idiom about chewing your words or the like.

However, *plá* does not occur in the two 'spokesman' words above, and if we take *cwa* and *plá* out of consideration in there is nothing left to connect the 'special way of speaking' words with speech.

Inconsistency as to glottalization (whether the first part of the stem is  $\dot{c}wap$  or  $c\dot{w}ap$  or cwap) and the need for a root having something to do with speech, suggest that we may be looking at the glottalized  $\dot{s}$  'speak, say' root. At the time I was recording these words in writing (no audio record, unfortunately), I had not yet recognized glottalized  $\dot{s}$  in places where it is more obvious, such as  $si\dot{s}i$  "I said", so it was not an option for me to consider. Here's what the analysis with  $\dot{s}$  'speak, say' looks like, taking one example from each group:

*ti- s wa p waac -i* 'be a spokesman'

*ti- s wa p laalá w -i* 'fancy (polite) talk'

There is no *wap* root; it may be the stative *wa* followed by p 'put, place'. The *laalá* may be onomatopoeic, as in English 'glossolallia'.<sup>1</sup>

Speaking for someone or 'helping with words' was evidently an important role, not only to articulate clearly, perhaps speak loudly, but also to put the parts of verbs together in a way that everyone could understand. A special example is the 'intepreter' for a doctor, especially when the doctor is singing. In any kind of singing, pitch and length are changed to suit the rhythm and tune, and a doctor singing and perhaps dancing while in a trance or trance-like state might not articulate clearly. I had previously analysed the stem in *qa winasthúúmaaki kúcí* 'the one who would interpret' with *s* plus *th*<sup>*u*</sup> 'hear, obey', and *ma* 'look, see, find, know how'.<sup>2</sup> This *s th*<sup>*u*</sup> *ma* analysis provides some indirect confirmation of the *s*' *wa p* analysis above.

Another such word is *tist<sup>h</sup>iwci* 'answer back, retort', where the *i* of *iw* 'reciprocally, together' supersedes the default *a* vowel of  $t^h$  'hear, obey, utter'.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Its occurrence in the onomatopoeic lááláq 'Canada geese' (cp. Yana laalagi) is suggestive but likely coincidental.

<sup>2</sup> Bauman has was'toamage, probably from Ike Leaf; de Angulo lists stems -ástùmág-, -úwástùmág-, -<u>óstùmág-</u>.

<sup>3</sup> The  $t^h e$ , and  $t^h u$  forms appear to be from the combination of  $t^h a$  with the w and y discussed above.

**3.** Utter, hear, obey. I've assembled the examples of verbs with  $t^ha$ ,  $t^he$ ,  $t^hu$ , and will look for consistent semantic differences between them that could be due to *w* or *y*.

There is one expression, *téh téh yááwaaci*' 'he's talking loud', which suggests that the aspirated  $t^h$  originated from loss of a vowel resulting in a *th* consonant cluster. I know that this is a plain *t* and a plain *h*, because immediately before it I recorded  $t^h\acute{e}hhi$ ' 'very light, faint, faded'.

The verbs with  $t^h a$  are about uttering or producing sounds. The simplest form, with only the 'do' auxiliary *c*, is  $t\dot{e}h\dot{t}a\dot{c}$  aat<sup>h</sup>aaci 'pounding acorn', referring evidently to the  $q^h um q^h um q^h um$  'utterances' of mortar and pestle.

<i>tit<sup>h</sup>ák</i> ýi	pray
tístí túwaat <sup>h</sup> ákýi	pray
táát <sup>h</sup> ááči	shout
tíít <sup>h</sup> am; tóót <sup>h</sup> am kúci	call, call on telephone
wint <sup>h</sup> améhceqi	hiccup
watiící ' wíc withanuwi	sounds like someone crying (cp. X wíc wicéuwí 'looks like X')

With hw 'breath, whisper; light', the sound cannot be heard:  $wit^hahwi$  'deaf',  $sint^hahwa$  'I don't hear/understand'. In *acpú tithanyi* 'believe' (with *acpú* 'straight, true') the last part may be y' 'manifest, attaining, situated' which also serves as the 'animate' copula. The *n* may be the intensive/iterative prefix, though placing the root before it is anomalous.

## The verbs with the have 'listen, obey' meanings

tit <sup>h</sup> ééwi	hear, mind
mhit <sup>h</sup> écpi ỷúwá mimú 'ó tissi	I'll mind your words
<i>tit<sup>h</sup>écpuumi</i>	hear well, understand
tit <sup>h</sup> écpííýa	Behave! Be obedient!
tít <sup>h</sup> écpiiỷi tucci	have no experience of (cursing)
tit <sup>h</sup> épťaswaci	listen closely (cp. ticéptaswaci 'watch')
<i>tit</i> <sup>h</sup> éctiiki	be frightened by sound
tit <sup>h</sup> ééla 'áyi	sound good

The analysis of *tithésqápqátí* 'feel defeated' with familiar morphemes is not as straightforward.

Several stems with 'listen' meanings were recorded with  $t^ha$ , but may actually have the  $t^he$  root. Two of these each have a brief schwa in the second syllable, which could as easily be reduced from e as from a:

tit <sup>h</sup> anááýi	listen hard
tit <sup>h</sup> alúwumi	work (something like 'pulled to obey', following instructions?)

A third example, one of the few words that I got from Gladys O'Neil, it was recorded on the fly in conversation. An *e* vowel is lowered toward *æ* before *q* or *h* (cp. *tehtać* 'acorn'), here before the  $\dot{q}$  of  $\dot{q}$  at 'approach, compress':

## títhaaqatyumi

listen to everyone

However, *tiít<sup>h</sup>anti* 'pay attention' clearly has an *a* vowel in the audio record (7:30 of LA49.014), and an obvious parallel to *tit<sup>h</sup>anááýi* 'listen hard', above. At this point, I only raise the question, pending good analyses.

The *t*<sup>h</sup>*u* root occurs in the 'interpret' verbs alluded to earlier, and one other:

waśt <sup>h</sup> úúmaake	interpreter
tinast <sup>h</sup> úúmaaki	interpret
wisunt <sup>h</sup> ótké	one who understands

Two verbs have *t*<sup>*h*</sup> followed by the vowel of another morpheme.

tínááluut <sup>h</sup> i	pay close attention, care about
tínááluut <sup>h</sup> ámé' yályú	heedless man (i.e. a brave man, heedless of danger)

In *tínááluut<sup>h</sup>i* the stem vowel *i* follows, and in *tínááluut<sup>h</sup>ámé*' the initial *a* of ámé' 'lacking, without'. The *lu* root apparently says one's attention is 'pulled' or attracted, but whether it is drawn to a sound is quite unclear.

Apparently unrelated are the words of arriving, reaching a destination ( $\dot{y}\dot{a}\dot{a}t^{h}uuki$  it's arriving here,  $\dot{y}\dot{a}\dot{a}t^{h}uumi$  it's arriving there), and  $\dot{y}\dot{a}\dot{a}t^{h}\dot{a}\dot{a}ki$  'it's coming out'.